Court file no.:

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

STEVEN REYNEN

Applicant (Appellant)

- and -

DR. TABITHA ROGERS

Respondent (Respondent in Appeal)

IN THE MATTER OF an application pursuant to section 32(1) of the Health Care Consent Act, R.S.O. 1996, S.O. 1996, c. 2, Sched. A, as amended,

AND IN THE MATTER OF Steven Reynen, a patient at The Royal (also known as Royal Ottawa Mental Health Centre), 1145 Carling Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario, K1Z 7K4.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE APPELLANT APPEALS to a judge from the decision of the Consent and Capacity Board (the "Board"), comprised of Suzzane Clapp (Senior Lawyer - Presiding Member) dated August 28, 2025. The Board's decision to confirm the health practitioner's finding of the appellant being incapable with respect to the treatment.

THE APPEAL WILL BE HEARD

In person at the Ottawa Courthouse, 161 Elgin Street, 2nd Floor, Ottawa, ON, K2P 2K1, on the 13th of January, 2026 at 1:31 PM.

THE APPELLANT REQUESTS:

- 1. an extension of time from the time periods set out in the Health Care Consent Act, 1996, S.O. 1996, c. 2, Schedule A, as amended, for filing this notice of appeal, if required;
- an extension of time from the time periods set out in the Health Care Consent Act, 1996,
 S.O. 1996, c. 2, Schedule A, as amended, for filing a supplementary notice of appeal, if required;
- 3. an extension of time from the time periods set out in the Health Care Consent Act, 1996, S.O. 1996, c. 2, Schedule A, as amended, for filing the appellant's factum;
- 4. that the Board's Decision of August, 28, 2025, be overturned;
- 5. that the appellant's involuntary admission status be rescinded;
- 6. the appellant's costs of this appeal; and
- 7. such further and other relief as the appellant may advise and this Honourable Court may permit.

THE GROUNDS OF APPEAL are as follows:

- 1. the Board's decision was received by the appellant on August, 28, 2025;
- 2. the appellant will self represent;
- 3. allowing an extension of the time for filing the appeal, if necessary, results in no prejudice to the respondent;
- 4. allowing an extension of the time for perfection and hearing of the appeal results in no prejudice to the respondent;
- 5. the Board erred in fact and in law in confirming the health practitioner's finding of the appellant being incapable with respect to the treatment;
- 6. subsection 80 of the Health Care Consent Act, 1996;
- 7. sections 134 and 135 of the Courts of Justice Act, RSO 1990, c. C43, as amended;
- 8. rule 3.02 of the Rules of Civil Procedure, RRO 1990, Reg 194;
- 9. section 2(a) of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms; and
- 10. such further and other grounds as the appellant may advise and this Honourable Court may permit

[THE REST OF THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

STEVEN REYNEN 1145 Carling Avenue Ottawa, Ontario, K1Z 7K4

E-mail: steven@reynen.ca

Appellant acting in person

TO:

DR. TABITHA ROGERS c/o Paige Miltenburg

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street Suite 1300 Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 1J9

E-mail: PMiltenburg@blg.com

Court file no.:

REYNEN and ROGERS

Applicant (Appellant)

Respondent (Respondent in Appeal)

ONTARIO

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

Proceeding commenced at Ottawa

NOTICE OF APPEAL

STEVEN REYNEN

1145 Carling Avenue

Ottawa, Ontario, K1Z 7K4

Appellant acting in person