

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

B E T W E E N:

STEVEN REYNEN

Applicant
(Appellant)

- and -

DR. TABITHA ROGERS

Respondent
(Respondent in Appeal)

NOTICE OF CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION

The appellant intends to question the constitutional validity and to claim a remedy under subsection 24(1) of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms of

Sections 21(1)2 and 35 of the Health Care Consent Act, 1996, S.O. 1996, c. 2, Sched. A., as amended.

in relation to an act or omission of the Government of Ontario.

The question is to be argued on *October 17, 2025* at *10:00*, at the Ottawa Courthouse *161 Elgin Street, 2nd Floor, Ottawa*.

The following are the material facts giving rise to the constitutional question:

1. The appellant wrote an advance directive (wish) on July 7, 2024 which stated both matters of conscience and religious beliefs as rationale as to why he never wished to be treated with psychotropics again.

1. "I never, ever, wish to be put on any psychotropic medication (including anti-psychotics, anti-depressants, etc.) ever again. Under any circumstance."

2. As a human being:

1. The psychotropics damaged my physical health. They caused me to gain over 200 pounds. This caused me to develop heart problems and pre-diabetes. I was told that I was at risk for stroke and heart failure. I had to resist more prescriptions than this – which could have resulted in severe polypharmacy.

2. The psychotropics damaged my cognition. This interfered with my ability to think and do things.

3. The psychotropics sedated me. This caused me to sleep most of the day away and severely limited my ability to do things.

4.The psychotropics damaged my ability to feel. This scares me the most.
God willing this is not irreversible– and I am experiencing more emotion again presently.

3.As a Christian:

- 1.The scariest piece for me is the dampening of my ability to feel love.
- 2.There are so many quotes in the bible stressing the importance of feeling love for one another.
- 3.If you don't believe me, just do a quick search.
- 4.I am not saved by my capabilities. Most days I am reminded of my failings.
- 5.I am saved by what Christ Jesus did for me at Calvary. Period. And I know it is unmerited.
- 6.All this being said.
- 7.This is my only wish I care to state while I am mentally capable.
- 8.I never wish to be put on psychotropics ever again.

- 2.The appellant has no criminal record, and has never been charged with a crime, let alone convicted.
- 3.The appellant never held a knife to his father's neck, nor did he threaten him with violence. He did however repeatedly tell his parents he was worried his body movements were being forcibly controlled by either no-touch torture, satanic ritual abuse, or alternate personalities.
- 4.The appellant, despite being spit on, struck by, threatened, harassed, emotionally and psychologically abused by both patients and staff, as documented on <https://reynen.ca> has never been violent with anyone.
5. The appellant was treated, despite his wishes, on March 11, 2025 at The Royal.
- 6.Pursuant to section 25 of the Health Care Consent Act on September 14, 2025 the appellant was treated in an emergency situation despite having already been mechanically restrained, almost naked, and all parties involved were aware of his wishes, despite Section 26 of the Health Care Consent Act, rendering this action illegal and arguably a instance of aggravated assault.
- 7.Dr. Tabitha Rogers has prohibited him from accessing his medical records to get the specifics to place on this form.
- 8.Dr. Tabitha Rogers repeatedly removed his access to his laptop and cellphone, pens, and paper, and other resources, which have thus interfered with his ability to self represent, and get a fair trial.
- 9.Dr. Tabitha Rogers has restricted his privileges, which violate other sections of the Charter. The appellant would appreciate grounds privileges, and would never run away as Romans 13 and respecting authority is important to him as both a Christian and as a man.
- 10.On April 9, 2025 Dr. Tabitha Rogers said the appellant was delusional for standard Christian beliefs. This is available on YouTube at <https://youtube.com/A9ig042INdk>, and <https://reynen.ca/resources/>. She also confirmed this on record at a Form A CCB hearing on August 27, 2025.

The following is the legal basis for the constitutional question: *(Set out concisely the legal basis for each question, identifying the nature of the constitutional principles to be argued.)*

1. *The first line of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, the beginning of the Constitution Act 1982, the highest law in Canada states:*
 1. *Whereas Canada is founded upon principles that recognizes the supremacy of God and the rule of law*
2. *Section 2(a) of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms states:*
 1. *Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: (a) freedom of conscience and religion*
3. *The appellants wishes express both matters of conscience and religious beliefs as rationale as to why he never should be treated with psychotropics ever again, under any circumstance.*
4. *should NEVER be treated under any circumstance (that is SIN: so is violence and suicide).*
5. *Section 21(1)2 and Section 35 of the Health Care Consent Act should be struck down as they are constitutionally invalid.*
6. *While the appellant agrees that while it best that he remain either voluntarily or involuntarily in a hospital, under the care of a different doctor than Dr. Tabitha Rogers (one who doesn't hate Christians and abuse him) until he can be 100% sure that his body movements cannot be manipulated in the slightest (from either no touch-torture / satanic ritual abuse / or an alternate personality from DID), he should NEVER be treated under any circumstances, even emergencies, with psychotropics ever again, both for matters of conscience and strongly held religious beliefs*

September 26, 2025

*Steven Reynen
1145 Carling Avenue
Ottawa, Ontario, K1Z 7K4*

*Email:
steven@reynen.ca*

Appellant acting in person

*TO The Attorney General of Ontario (as required by section 109 of the Courts of Justice Act)
Constitutional Law Branch
4th floor
720 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario M5G 2K1
fax: (416) 326-4015*

*The Attorney General of Canada (as required by section 109 of the Courts of Justice Act)
Suite 3400, Exchange Tower
Box 36, First Canadian Place
Toronto, Ontario M5X 1K6
fax: (416) 952-0298
(or Justice Building
234 Wellington Street
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0H8
fax: (613) 954-1920)*

*Dr. Tabitha Rogers
c/o Marie-Eve Caissy
Gowling WLG
160 Elgin Street Suite 2600
Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3*

*Email:
marie-eve-caissy@gowlingwlg.com*

*Sidney Peters
Public Guardian and Trustee
c/o Crystal Liu
595 Bay Street Suite 800
Toronto, ON M5G 1M6*

Email:

crystal.liu3@ontario.ca

[THE REST OF THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

Steven Reynen
Applicant
(Appellant)

And

Court file no.:
Dr. Tabitha Rogers
Respondent
Respondent in Appeal

ONTARIO

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

Proceeding commenced at Ottawa

NOTICE OF APPEAL

STEVEN REYNEN

1145 Carling Avenue

Ottawa, Ontario, K1Z 7K4

Appellant acting in person